

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/688,377	10/17/2003	Timothy J. Wilson	CE11587R (79532)	CE11587R (79532) 2221	
22242	7590 04/19/2005		EXAMINER		
	N TABIN AND FLAN	BHANDARI, PUNEET			
120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET				DADED MED (DED	
SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, II	L 60603-3406		2666		
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ck		
	10/688,377	WILSON, TIMOTH	IY J.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · ·		
	Puneet Bhandari	2666			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 O</u>	october 2003.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	,—				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,9-11,13-19,21-24,26 &27 is/are re 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 6-8,12,20 and 25 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Art Unit: 2666

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims **1-5,9-10,13-16, 18-19,21-24** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kallio (US 2002/0147008).

Regarding claim 1, a method comprising

- Providing at least one threshold value is anticipated by "threshold comparison" disclosed on page 4, paragraph 36, lines 3-9;
- Determining a quality of service for a wireless communication link with at least one access point that comprises a part of a wireless local area network is anticipated by "WLAN- rx-level" disclosed on page 4, paragraph 36, lines 1-15;
- Determining a likelihood that the quality of service will have at least a predetermined relationship with respect to the at least one threshold value at a predetermined future time is anticipated by "WLAN- rx-level (transmission level) threshold comparison" disclosed on page 4, paragraph 36, lines 1-15.

Regarding claim 2,wherein determining a quality of service for a wireless communication link with at least one access point that comprises a part of wireless local

area network is anticipated by "WLAN- rx-level" disclosed on page 4, paragraph 36, lines 1-15; comprises determining a quality of service for each wireless communication link with a plurality of access point that comprise a part of wireless local area network is anticipated by "WLAN- rx-level" disclosed on page 4, paragraph 36, lines 1-15;

Regarding claim 3,wherein determining a quality of service for a wireless communication link with at least one access point that comprises a part of wireless local area network comprises determining quality of service values for a wireless communication link with one access point over a sampling period of time is anticipated by "MS 150 measures signal levels of WLAN link at all times" disclosed on page 5, paragraph 42, line 5.

Regarding claim **4**, wherein determining a likelihood that the quality of service will have at least a predetermined relationship with respect to the at least one threshold value at a predetermined future time comprises, using the plurality of quality of service values to estimate a projected quality of service at a predetermined future time is anticipated by "WLAN rx-level (transmission level) may contain two threshold values" disclosed on page 5, paragraph 48, lines 1-8.

Regarding claim **5**, wherein determining a likelihood that the quality of service will have at least a predetermined relationship with respect to the at least one threshold value at a predetermined future time comprises, using the plurality of quality of service values to extrapolate projected quality of service at the predetermined future time is anticipated by "WLAN rx-level drops below limit" disclosed on page 5, paragraph 42, line 6.

Regarding claim **9**, further comprising providing a first signal when there is at least a predetermined likelihood that the quality of service will have at least the predetermined relationship with respect to the at-least one threshold value at predetermined time is anticipated by "if the WLAN Rx-level is higher than the upper threshold value" disclosed on page 5, paragraph 48, lines 1-8.

Regarding claim 10, when there is not at least a predetermined likelihood that the quality of service will have at least the predetermined relationship with respect to the at least one threshold value at predetermined future time, determining a likelihood that the quality of service will have at least a predetermined relationship with respect to the at least one threshold value at least a second predetermined future time, which second predetermined future time is different than the predetermined future time is anticipated by "WLAN rx-level (transmission level) may contain two threshold values" disclosed on page 5, paragraph 48, lines 1-8.

Regarding claim 13, method of claim 1, further comprising:

- providing a list that identifies at least one access point is anticipated by "mobile station receive information regarding WLAN radio" disclosed in page 3, paragraph 30, lines 5-8
- using the list when determining the likelihood that the quality of service will have at least a predetermined relationship with respect to the at least one threshold value at a future time is anticipated by "based on receive signal level comparison with the threshold value mobile makes the decision to select a WLAN cell or a GSM cell" disclosed on page 4, paragraph 36, lines 1-15.

Art Unit: 2666

Regarding claim **14**, wherein using the list when determining the likelihood that the quality of service will have at least a predetermined relationship with respect to the at least one threshold value at a predetermined future time comprises using the list to identify access points to be considered when determining the likelihood is anticipated by "measurement report calculated by the mobile station" disclosed in page 5, paragraph 48, lines 1-11.

Regarding claim **15**, further comprising receiving at least one parameter from an access point, and wherein determining a likelihood that the quality of service will have at least a predetermined relationship with respect to the at least one threshold value at a predetermined future time comprises using at least one parameter when determining the likelihood that the quality of service will have at least a predetermined relationship with respect to the at least one threshold value at predetermined future time is anticipated by "during the handover from WLAN to GSM network WLAN-rx-level threshold comparison takes place" disclosed on page 6, paragraph 53-lines 1-11-paragraph 54-lines 1-8.

Regarding claim **16**,wherein determining quality of service comprises determining a received signal strength value as corresponds to the wireless communication link is anticipated by "WLAN rx-level threshold" disclosed on page 4, paragraph 36, lines 1-9.

Regarding claim 18, wherein determining a likelihood that a quality of service will have at least a predetermined relationship with respect to the at least one threshold value at a predetermined future time comprises determining a probability that quality of service will have at least a predetermined relationship with respect to the at least one

Application/Control Number: 10/688,377

Art Unit: 2666

threshold values at a predetermined future time is anticipated by "WLAN-rx-level threshold comparison takes place in-order to select WLAN cell" disclosed on page 6, paragraph 53-lines 1-11-paragraph 54-lines 1-8.

Regarding claim 19, wherein

-determining a quality of service for a wireless communication link comprises determining a quality of service for each of plurality of wireless communication links that comprise a part of wireless local area network is anticipated by "MS 150 measures WLAN signal levels at all time" disclosed on page 5, paragraph 42, line 5; and

-determining a likelihood that the quality of service will have at least a predetermined relationship with respect to the at least one threshold value at a predetermined future time is anticipated by "WLAN- rx-level (transmission level) threshold comparison" disclosed on page 4, paragraph 36, lines 1-15; comprises:

-estimating a likely future quality of service value for each of plurality of wireless communication links at future time is anticipated by "WLAN rx-level (transmission level) may contain two threshold values" disclosed on page 5, paragraph 48, lines 1-8;

-selecting which ever of likely future quality of service value represent a best quality of service relative to the other likely future quality of service values to provide a selected future quality of service value is anticipated by "using Rx-levels to monitor the quality of service" disclosed on page 4, paragraph 36, lines 1-15;

-using the selected future quality of service value to determine the likelihood that the quality of service will have at least a predetermined relationship with respect to the at least one threshold value at predetermined future time is anticipated by "comparing"

Application/Control Number: 10/688,377

Art Unit: 2666

Rx-level with threshold value to make a decision regarding the future hand off' disclosed on page 4, paragraph 36, lines 1-15;

Regarding claim **21**, a method to facilitate handing off wireless communication from a wireless local area network to wireless wide area network is anticipated by "seamless mobility between GSM network and WLAN network" disclosed on page 2, lines 7-23;comprising:

-monitoring wireless communication paths for at least some access points of the wireless local area network is anticipated by "MS 150 measures WLAN signal levels at all time" disclosed on page 5, paragraph 42, line 5;

-determining a plurality of measured quality of service metrics over a sampling period for at least some of the monitored wireless communication paths is anticipated by "when WLAN signal level drops below the threshold limit" disclosed on page 5, paragraph 42, line 6,

-for at least some of the monitored wireless communication paths, using the plurality of measured quality of service metrics to extrapolate likely future quality of service values is anticipated by "comparison the threshold value and the receive signal levels" disclosed on page 4, paragraph 36, lines 1-8;

-using the likely future quality of service values to determine a probability that at least one of the monitored wireless communication path will continue to provide viable wireless communication service is anticipated by "when the comparison between the threshold and receive signal level indicates that WLAN cell should be selected, mobile

Application/Control Number: 10/688,377

Art Unit: 2666

device continues to receive service from the WLAN cell"; disclosed on page 4, paragraph 36, lines 1-15

-using the probability to determine whether a wireless subscriber unit presently supporting a wireless local area network communication is likely to soon require hand off of that communication to the wireless wide area network is anticipated by "when WLAN signal level drops below the threshold limit signal that mobile should handoff to GSM network" disclosed on page 5, paragraph 42, line 6-9,

Regarding claim **22**,wherein monitoring wireless communication paths for at least some access point of wireless local area network comprises monitoring all the wireless communication paths that are received is anticipated by "MS 150 measures WLAN signal levels at all time" disclosed on page 5, paragraph 42, line 5.

Regarding claim 23, wherein monitoring wireless communication path paths for at least some access points of wireless local area network comprises monitoring only selected wireless communication paths such that receivable wireless communication paths that are not selected are not monitored is anticipated by "paths are reported in the measurement report if there receive signal level is greater than the threshold" disclosed on page 5, paragraph 0046, line 1-15.

Regarding claim 24, wherein monitoring wireless communication paths such that receivable wireless communication paths that are not selected are not monitored comprises:

-receiving information regarding access points is anticipated by "measurement report calculated by mobile station" disclosed page 5, paragraph 0046, lines 9-15;

Art Unit: 2666

-using the information regarding access points to identify selected wireless communication paths to monitor is anticipated by "reserved frequency number are assigned to the WLAN and they are reported to the mobile in measurement reports" disclosed on page 5, paragraph 44, lines 1-15.

3. Claim 1 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ibe (US 2004/0087307).

Regarding claim 1, a method comprising

- Providing at least one threshold value is anticipated by is anticipated by "threshold value d" disclosed on page 2, paragraph 19, lines 3-6;
- Determining a quality of service for a wireless communication link with at least one access point that comprises a part of a wireless local area network is anticipated by "mobile device has the capability to monitor signal quality by measuring the signal to noise ratio" disclosed on page 2, paragraph 19, lines 1-3;
- Determining a likelihood that the quality of service will have at least a predetermined relationship with respect to the at least one threshold value at a predetermined future time is anticipated by "when SNR drops to a cutoff value r>d, the system initiates the handoff with the objective of completing the handoff procedure before the SNR drops down to the threshold value d" disclosed on page 2, lines 7-10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2666

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim **11, 26-27** are is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kallio (US 2002/0147008) in view of Natarajan et al. (US 2004/0097230).

Regarding claim 11, Kallio (US 2002/0147008) teaches all the limitation of claim 10 (see 102 rejection for claim 10 above), expect Kallio (US 2002/0147008) does not expressly disclose second predetermined future time is sooner than the predetermined future time. Natarajan et al. (US 2004/0097230) discloses second predetermined time interval (T_{LWM}) is sooner than the predetermined future time (T_{LD}) (refer Fig.6 of Natarajan et al. (US 2004/0097230). At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person in ordinary skill in art to modify the method of Kallio (US 2002/0147008) by adding second predetermined future time is sooner than the predetermined future time of Natarajan et al. (US 2004/0097230). One in ordinary skill in art would have been motivated to do this to ensure handoff is completed before the link goes down (see page 4, paragraph 34, lines 1-5 of Natarajan et al. (US 2004/0097230).

Regarding claim **26**, Kallio (US 2002/0147008) teaches all the limitation of claim 10 (see 102 rejection for claim 10 above), expect Kallio (US 2002/0147008) does not expressly disclose second future point in time that is closer in time than the first future point in time. Natarajan et al. (US 2004/0097230) discloses second predetermined time interval (T_{LWM}) is sooner than the predetermined future time (T_{LD}) (refer Fig.6 of Natarajan et al. (US 2004/0097230). At the time the invention was made it would have

Art Unit: 2666

been obvious to a person in ordinary skill in art to modify the method of Kallio (US 2002/0147008) by adding second predetermined future time is sooner than the predetermined future time of Natarajan et al. (US 2004/0097230). One in ordinary skill in art would have been motivated to do this to ensure handoff is completed before the link goes down (see page 4, paragraph 34, lines 1-5 of Natarajan et al. (US 2004/0097230).

Regarding claim 27, wherein using the likely future quality of service values to determine a probability that at least one of the monitored wireless communication paths will continue to provide viable wireless communication service further comprises using the first and second likely future quality of service values to determine corresponding probabilities that at least one of the monitored wireless communication paths will continue to provide viable wireless communication service at the first future point in time and second future point in time is anticipated by "WLAN-receive signal levels may contain two threshold values to ensure than the mobile device is being serviced when within the wireless coverage area" disclosed on page 5, paragraph 48, lines 1-8.

6. Claim **17** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kallio (US 2002/0147008) in view of Jagadeesan et al (US 2005/0059400).

Kallio (US 2002/0147008) teaches all the limitation of claim **17** (see 102 rejection for claim 16 above), expect Kallio (US 2002/0147008) does not expressly disclose determining quality of service further comprises determining a link margin value as corresponds to the wireless communication link function, at least in part, of received signal strength value. Jagadeesan et al (US 2005/0059400) teaches SNR (link Margin)

Art Unit: 2666

takes in account received signal strength and background noise or interference (see page 4,paragraph 0033- lines 7-11). At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person in ordinary skill in art to modify the method of Kallio (US 2002/0147008) by enhancing quality of service by determining a link margin value as corresponds to the wireless communication link function, at least in part, of received signal strength value. One in ordinary skill in art would have been motivated to do this because SNR may be a better predictor for bit error rate and packet error rate than RSS (see page 4, paragraph 33, lines 11-13 of Jagadeesan et al (US 2005/0059400).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims **6-8,12, 20,25** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Puneet Bhandari whose telephone number is 571-272-2057. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00 AM To 5.30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2666

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Puneet Bhandari Examiner Art Unit 2666 Page 13

CHAU NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

More T. Norsen